UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/581,395 | 08/14/2008 | Hien Boon Tan | Q78657 | 3868 | |
| 23373 SUGHRUE MI | 7590 12/13/201 ON, PLLC | 0 | EXAMINER | | |
| 2100 PENNSY | LVANIA AVENUE, N | GOODWIN, DAVID J | | | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2818 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/13/2010 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/581,395 | TAN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | DAVID GOODWIN | 2818 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING TH | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u> | ine 2010 | | | | | | |
| , | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| <i>i</i> | ·— | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under 2 | in parte Quayre, 1999 C.D. 11, 40 | 0.0.210. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9 and 13-19</u> is/are pending in the a | oplication. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | | | |
| ··· _ | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5, 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akram (US 6946732) in view of Chakravorty (US 6181569) in view of Qi (US 6774497).
- 3. Regarding claim 5.
- 4. Akram teaches making a chip package. Said package comprises a centrally located row of bond pads (202) wherein each of said bond pads is aligned in only a central row (fig 7) (column 4 lines 1-10).
- 5. Akram does not teach the method of making the bonds.
- 6. Chakravorty teaches a method of making a device. Said method comprises providing a wafer, the wafer comprising a plurality of integrated circuit chips, and dicing the wafer into a plurality of chip arrays (317) each array comprising two or more integrated circuit chips (fig 9a). Each circuit chip comprises a row of bond pads (311) aligned in a central row (fig 7). Attaching each chip array (317) to a substrate (318) (fig 9b) (column 12 lines 35-55). Dicing each array (317), attached to the substrate(318) into individual chip scale packages, each individual chip scale package (319) comprising only one integrated circuit chip (fig 9e) (column 13 lines 5-25).

Art Unit: 2818

7. It would have been obvious to use the Chakravorty method to bond the device in order to form strong stable bonds at low cost.

- 8. Akram in view Chakravortry does not teach the mounting process.
- 9. Qi teaches a method of making a device. Said method comprises a chip (110) comprising a plurality of bond pads (114) aligned on an upper surface of the integrated circuit chip, wherein each bond of said bond pads is aligned in a row (fig 1). A plurality of conductive bumps (120) formed on the plurality of bond pads (114) (fig 1) (column 4 lines 45-65). Mounting each chip on a substrate (240) such that the bumps align with corresponding solder pad openings (242) on an upper surface of the substrate (240) (fig 2a). Reflowing the chips thereby melting the bumps and establishing a conductive joint between the integrated circuit chips and the substrate (fig 2b). Under fill encapsulating the integrated circuit chip on the substrate (fig 2b) (column 6 lines 1-45).
- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a chip having bond pads so that conductive traces can be connected to the chip.
- 11. Regarding claim 6.
- 12. Qi teaches, prior to mounting, dipping each array in flux material such that flux (124) material adheres to the bumps (120) (fig 1). Wherein each array is mounted on a substrate the flux material adheres the bumps to the solder pad openings (242) (fig 2a) (column 5 lines 20-25).
- 13. Regarding claim 13.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,395

Art Unit: 2818

14. Chakravorty teaches prior to mounting each array on a substrate, providing a wafer comprising a plurality of integrated circuit chips (317). Dicing (316) the wafer into of integrated circuit chips comprising two or more integrated circuit chips (9a).

Page 4

- 15. Claims 14, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chakravorty (US 6181569) in view of Qi (US 6774497).
- 16. Regarding claim 14.
- 17. Chakravorty teaches a method of mounting a chip scale package. Said method comprises monting an array of integrated circuits (317) on a substrate (318). Each integrated circuit chip (317) comprising a plurality of bond pads (311) on an upper surface of the integrated circuit chip wherein each of said bond pads is aligned in a plurality of central rows (fig 7). A plurality of conductive bumps (314) formed on the plurality of bond pads (311). Attaching each chip array (317) to a substrate (318) (fig 9b) (column 12 lines 35-55). Dicing each array (317), attached to the substrate(318) into individual chip scale packages, each individual chip scale package (319) comprising only one integrated circuit chip (fig 9e) (column 13 lines 5-25).
- 18. Chakravortry does not teach the mounting process.
- 19. Qi teaches a method of making a device. Said method comprises a chip (110) comprising a plurality of bond pads (114) aligned on an upper surface of the integrated circuit chip, wherein each bond of said bond pads is aligned in a row (fig 1). A plurality of conductive bumps (120) formed on the plurality of bond pads (114) (fig 1) (column 4 lines 45-65). Mounting each chip on a substrate (240) such that the bumps align with corresponding solder pad openings (242) on an upper surface of the substrate (240) (fig

Application/Control Number: 10/581,395

Art Unit: 2818

2a). Reflowing the chips thereby melting the bumps and establishing a conductive joint between the integrated circuit chips and the substrate (fig 2b). Under fill encapsulating the integrated circuit chip on the substrate (fig 2b) (column 6 lines 1-45).

Page 5

- 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a chip having bond pads so that conductive traces can be connected to the chip.
- 21. Regarding claim 15.
- 22. Qi teaches, prior to mounting, dipping each array in flux material such that flux (124) material adheres to the bumps (120) (fig 1). Wherein each array is mounted on a substrate the flux material adheres the bumps to the solder pad openings (242) (fig 2a) (column 5 lines 20-25).
- 23. Regarding claim 19.
- 24. Chakravorty teaches prior to mounting each array on a substrate, providing a wafer comprising a plurality of integrated circuit chips (317). Dicing (316) the wafer into of integrated circuit chips comprising two or more integrated circuit chips (9a).
- 25. Claim 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akram (US 6946732) in view of Chakravorty (US 6181569) in view of Qi (US 6774497) as applied to claim 6 and further in view of Lance (US 5697148)
- 26. Regarding claim 7
- 27. Akram in view of Chakravorty in view of Qi teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 28. Akram in view of Chakravorty in view of Qi does not teach cleaning the flux from the device

Art Unit: 2818

29. Lance teaches cleaning the flux from the device (column 1 lines 20-35).

30. It would have been obvious to on e of ordinary skill in the art to clean the fluc from the device in order to prevent corrosion.

- 31. Regarding claim 8
- 32. Akram in view of Chakravorty in view of Qi teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 33. Akram in view of Chakravorty in view of Qi does not teach injecting the encapsulant.
- 34. Lance teaches injecting the encapsulant (22) between the chip (12) and the substrate (14).
- 35. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to inject the encapsulant in order to alleviate problems of thermal mismatch.
- 36. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akram (US 6946732) in view of Chakravorty (US 6181569) in view of Qi (US 6774497) as applied to claim 5 and further in view of Ho (US 6849955)
- 37. Regarding claim 9.
- 38. Akram in view of Chakravorty in view of Qi teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 39. Akram in view of Chakravorty in view of Qi does not teach solder balls formed on the backside of the substrate.
- 40. Ho teaches forming solder balls (510) formed on the back side of the carrier substrate (100) (fig 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/581,395

Art Unit: 2818

41. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form solder balls on the back side of the carrier substrate in order for the substrate to be electrically connected to a circuit board.

Page 7

- 42. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chakravorty (US 6181569) in view of Qi (US 6774497) as applied to claim 15 and further in view of Lance (US 5697148)
- 43. Regarding claim 16
- 44. Chakravorty in view of Qi teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 45. Chakravorty in view of Qi does not teach cleaning the flux from the device
- 46. Lance teaches cleaning the flux from the device (column 1 lines 20-35).
- 47. It would have been obvious to on e of ordinary skill in the art to clean the fluc from the device in order to prevent corrosion.
- 48. Regarding claim 17
- 49. Chakravorty in view of Qi teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 50. Chakravorty in view of Qi does not teach injecting the encapsulant.
- 51. Lance teaches injecting the encapsulant (22) between the chip (12) and the substrate (14).
- 52. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to inject the encapsulant in order to alleviate problems of thermal mismatch.
- 53. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chakravorty (US 6181569) in view of Qi (US 6774497) as applied to claim 14 and further in view of Ho (US 6849955)

- 54. Regarding claim 18.
- 55. Chakravorty in view of Qi teaches elements of the claimed invention above.
- 56. Chakravorty in view of Qi does not teach solder balls formed on the backside of the substrate.
- 57. Ho teaches forming solder balls (510) formed on the back side of the carrier substrate (100) (fig 8).
- 58. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form solder balls on the back side of the carrier substrate in order for the substrate to be electrically connected to a circuit board.

Response to Arguments

- 59. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 through 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 60. Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 61. The applicant argues that the prior art does not teach that the bond pads are aligned in only a plurality of central rows.
- 62. The applicant will note that Chakravorty teaches that each and all bond pads are aligned in only a plurality of central rows. Figure 7 shows that all the bond pads are located in four centrally located rows.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID GOODWIN whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2818

(571)272-8451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am through 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Loke can be reached on (571)272-1657. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Djg

/STEVEN LOKE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2818